

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/456,230	12/07/1999	MYLES WAKAYAMA	36159/JWE/B600	6158
7:	590 08/02/2002			
Christopher C.Winslade			EXAMINER	
McAndrewa He 500 W.Madisor			TRA, ANI	I QUAN
Suite 3400 Chicago, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3 /			2816	12
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}	/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/456,230	WAKAYAMA ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quan Tra	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date as FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	te of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of ned statutory period for reply originally se	the fee. The appropriate extension fee unde t in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth i	in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: the newly added limitations in claim 8 is	requires further search and consid	eration.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 3,8-11 and 19-22.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8 The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater 10. Other:	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20 a				
10. Other:		Toan Tran Primary Examiner	/			
S. Patent and Trademark Office		······				





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in response to the argument in the second paragraph in page 7 of the remark, Hsu's figure 1 teaches a method for using a frequency divider coupled to the output of the phase locked loop for reducing the output frequency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one adving ordinary skill in the art to use a frequency divider coupled to the output of Ghshal's circuit figure 2 for the purpose of reducing the output frequency. The frequency at the output of the VCO of Hsu is M time higher than a desired frequency. Therefore, the frequency at node 22 of Ghoshal is also M time higher than its desired frequency after being divided by M.